

CROWN LAW OFFICE
BRIEFING PAPER FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL
AUGUST 2002

Contents	Page
Foreword	2
ATTORNEY-GENERAL	3
Introduction	3
Role And Functions	4
History of the office	4
Principal Legal Adviser	4
Representation in the Courts	4
Principal Law Officer of the Crown	5
Protector of Charities	5
Litigation Involving the Public Interest	5
Miscellaneous Statutory Functions	5
Representation on Bodies	6
Independence	7
Relationship with the Judiciary	7
Appointments to High Court	7
Judicial complaints	8
Judicial Matters Bill	8
Future of appeals to Privy Council	8
The Attorney-General and Parliament	8
SOLICITOR-GENERAL	11
Introduction	11
Roles and Functions	11
CROWN LAW OFFICE	12
Role and Functions	12
Cabinet Directions	13
Organisation and Structure of Crown's Legal Services	14
Crown Solicitors	15
Management and Administration of the Crown Law Office	18
Senior Management Group	18
Statement of Intent	19
Expenditure and Appropriations	19

Appendices

- Statutory Functions - Attorney-General and Solicitor-General
- Practice Note on Appointment of Queen's Counsel
- Cabinet Directions
- Prosecution Guidelines
- Booklet on High Court Judge Appointments
- Booklet on High Court Master Appointments
- Booklet on Judicial Complaints Process

Foreword**Purpose of briefing**

This briefing has been prepared by the Solicitor-General, as the junior law officer of the Crown, to explain how the Solicitor-General and the Crown Law Office are currently conducting the Crown's legal business.

The briefing is intended to form the basis for discussion with the Attorney-General as to how the Attorney wishes the Solicitor-General to assist the Attorney-General to discharge her functions in government. In particular, it is intended to ascertain if the Attorney's view on the roles of the Solicitor-General and the Crown Law Office coincides with present practice.

Themes

The principal theme emerging from this briefing is the importance of the role of the Attorney-General in ensuring an understanding and acceptance within the government of the values encapsulated in the phrase "the rule of law" and their application to the Executive.

A key role for the Solicitor-General and the Crown Law Office is to provide support for the Attorney-General in the performance of this aspect of the Attorney's function. The briefing establishes a basis for discussion with the Attorney as to the best way in which the Solicitor-General and the Crown Law Office can provide this support.

Terence Arnold
Solicitor-General

ATTORNEY-GENERAL

Introduction

1. The Attorney-General has two roles in Government:
 - 1.1 The first is that of a Minister of the Crown with ministerial responsibility for the Crown Law Office, the Serious Fraud Office and the Parliamentary Counsel Office¹. Traditionally in New Zealand the Attorney-General also has policy portfolio responsibilities not connected with those of the Attorney-General.
 - 1.2 The second role is that of the senior Law Officer of the Crown with principal responsibility for the government's administration of the law. This function is exercised in conjunction with the Solicitor-General, who is the junior Law Officer.

The Attorney thus has a unique role that combines, on the one hand, the obligation to act on some matters independently, free of political considerations, with, on the other hand, the political partisanship that is otherwise properly associated with other Ministerial office.

2. The fundamental responsibility of the Attorney-General, when acting as Attorney, is to act in the public interest. The management of the inevitable conflicts of interest that arise is facilitated in New Zealand by the fact that the Solicitor-General is a non political law officer, who is available to advise and assist on and, where appropriate, to discharge law officer functions. The Solicitor-General is generally authorised by statute to exercise the functions of the Attorney-General, thereby clearly isolating, when that is considered desirable, law officer decision-making from the appearance of political influences.²
3. In exercising that constitutional role the Attorney-General, as a Minister and (usually) a member of the Cabinet, seeks to ensure that:
 - 3.1 the operations of executive Government are conducted lawfully and constitutionally; and
 - 3.2 the Government is not prevented through use of the legal process from lawfully implementing its chosen policies.
4. These constitutional responsibilities are reflected in the functions of the Crown Law Office. Sometimes they are referred to as "the Attorney-General's values".

¹ Responsibility for the Parliamentary Counsel Office arises under the Statutes Drafting and Compilation Act 1920. That Office, strictly, is not a government department.

² The Constitution Act 1986 was amended in 1999 to add provisions recognising the office of the Solicitor-General and the ability of the Solicitor-General to exercise the powers of the Attorney-General (s 9A). Those provisions succeed similar provisions in the now-repealed Acts Interpretation Act 1924 and in the Finance Act 1952 (No 2).

Role And Functions

History of the office

5. The Office of Attorney-General was one of the British constitutional institutions adopted in New Zealand. In early colonial New Zealand the Attorney-General was one of the three permanent officials of the Executive Council (the others being the Colonial Secretary and the Colonial Treasurer). Although legislative authority has existed at various times for the office, there is presently no statutory basis establishing it. The existence of the office is recognised in New Zealand courts and in ss 9A & 9C of the Constitution Act 1986 (which deals with the delegation of the powers, duties and functions of the Attorney-General). The office holder, by virtue of appointment, assumes responsibilities conferred by statute and common law. The various facets of the role are dealt with in turn.

Principal Legal Adviser

6. The Attorney-General is the principal legal adviser to the Government. In that capacity the Attorney has similar responsibilities to those of any legal adviser towards a client. The Attorney-General is also a member of the Government and is usually a member of the Cabinet. In Cabinet and Cabinet Committee meetings, the Attorney-General's role includes giving legal advice and encouraging Ministerial colleagues to seek appropriate legal advice in the course of government decision-making.
7. In practice it is the Solicitor-General (either directly or through Crown Counsel) who gives legal advice to the Government. But that advice is always subject to the opinion of the Attorney-General.
8. In addition, the Attorney-General has overall responsibility for the conduct of all legal proceedings involving the Crown, and can be expected to keep his or her fellow Ministers generally informed of the initiation, progress and outcome of such proceedings against or by the Government.

Representation in the Courts

9. The Attorney-General is the principal plaintiff or defendant on behalf of the Government in the courts.³ Judicial review proceedings usually name the relevant Minister of the Crown or other decision maker involved. Generally proceedings involving Ministers and departments will be handled by the Crown Law Office for the Attorney-General and governmental interests directly affected (although the Solicitor-General will brief particular matters to outside counsel in appropriate circumstances). In addition, the Attorney-General has a separate responsibility to represent the public interest on behalf of the general community. In doing so, the Attorney-General may intervene in proceedings which affect the public interest (see below).
10. All proceedings are served on the Crown Law Office, and the Solicitor-General (or Crown Counsel allocated responsibility) acts as counsel. The Attorney-General has occasionally appeared personally as counsel for the Crown in the

³ See the Crown Proceedings Act 1950.

past, including appearances before the Court of Appeal and the Privy Council. There are, however, some risks in doing so, particularly in criminal proceedings. The Attorney-General has also appeared before the International Court of Justice.

Principal Law Officer of the Crown

11. As a Law Officer, the Attorney-General has, and with the Solicitor-General exercises, powers, functions and duties related to the proper administration of justice and the public interest. The Attorney-General's functions with respect to the criminal justice system are discussed separately below.

Protector of Charities

12. The Attorney-General's responsibilities in this area, outlined in the Charitable Trusts Act 1957, are exercised by the Solicitor-General or by a Deputy Solicitor-General under a delegation.⁴ There are two main aspects to these protective responsibilities:
 - 12.1 the notion that charitable purposes need protection by an officer acting in the public interest as there may be no beneficiaries to enforce them;
 - 12.2 the need for charitable bodies to be scrutinised in the public interest.
13. The Solicitor-General, on behalf of the Attorney-General, reports on, or approves schemes to vary, charitable trusts; may appear as a party to charity proceedings and act for the beneficial interest to enforce charitable purposes; monitors and, on request, may advise persons and select committees on legislation involving charitable trusts; and in the public interest investigates the management and administration of charitable trusts.
14. On 13 May 2002, Cabinet confirmed the establishment of a Charities Commission to approve and register charities, monitor their activities, and provide enforcement. The investigation function, currently undertaken through the Crown Law Office, will be transferred to the new Commission.

Litigation Involving the Public Interest

15. The Attorney-General through the Solicitor-General traditionally lends assistance to citizens seeking to enforce the law in circumstances where there is no individual right to initiate proceedings (relator proceedings). The relaxed requirements of legal standing mean relator proceedings are now uncommon. The Attorney-General also has a responsibility to ensure that lawful avenues of redress are not abused by vexatious litigants. Those who are declared to be vexatious litigants are limited in their ability to pursue court actions. In addition, there are various types of proceeding that can be taken only with the Attorney's consent.
16. The Attorney-General can also seek leave to intervene in the public interest in proceedings to which the Attorney-General is not already a party. For example, in *ENZA Ltd v Apple & Pear Export Permits Committee* [2001] 3 NZLR 456, the

⁴ The Constitution Act 1986 was amended in 1999 by insertion of s 9C to allow the Solicitor-General to delegate functions to a Deputy Solicitor-General.

Attorney-General intervened to promote the aims of the legislation and to protect the interests of the public at large.

17. The Attorney-General can represent the public interest in the administration of justice and, where appropriate, take legal action to see that the law is observed and justice is done in both criminal and civil proceedings. An illustration of this role with respect to civil proceedings is the case of *Attorney-General v Maori Land Court* [1999] 1 NZLR 689 (Court of Appeal). An application for judicial review was brought with respect to the jurisdiction of the Maori Land Court to deal with land owned by a local authority. The case raised issues of general importance about the scope of the Maori Land Court's jurisdiction over General Land or Crown Land.

Miscellaneous Statutory Functions

18. There are numerous powers, duties and functions conferred or imposed on the Attorney-General under particular statutes. A list of these powers is **attached** as an appendix. These can be elaborated on, if you wish. In terms of Regulation 3 of the Queen's Counsel Regulations, the appointment of Queen's Counsel is made by the Governor-General on the recommendation of the Attorney-General and with the concurrence of the Chief Justice. The current Practice Note setting out the procedure governing the appointment process is **attached** as an appendix.
19. On 18 March and 13 June 2002, Cabinet approved changes to the nature of the rank and appointment process for Queen's Counsel in New Zealand. The title of the rank will change from "Queen's Counsel" to "Senior Counsel", although existing Queen's Counsel will have the option to retain their title.
20. The Governor-General will continue to appoint Senior Counsel on the recommendation of the Attorney-General and with the concurrence of the Chief Justice. However, a selection panel, comprising the Solicitor-General and representatives of the New Zealand Law Society and Bar Association, may be convened to advise the Attorney-General on applicants. Formal criteria will be developed.
21. It was the intention of the previous government to introduce these changes this year as part of the Law Practitioners and Conveyancers Bill.

Representation on Bodies

22. The Attorney-General is a member of various bodies, including the Rules Committee, which is charged with responsibility for developing the District Courts, High Court and Court of Appeal Rules and the Council for Law Reporting, which has responsibility for the publishing of the New Zealand Law Reports. In all cases the Solicitor-General is also a member and can undertake the task of representation in the Attorney-General's absence, either personally or by delegation. It is appreciated that ministerial commitments preclude any more than occasional attendance by the Attorney-General at such meetings. However active participation in the deliberations of the Rules Committee could at some stage be

⁵ *NPANZ v Family Court* [1999] 2 NZLR 344.

desirable on specific issues involving a significant policy content especially if the Government and judiciary are likely to have different views.

Independence

23. In exercising the powers, functions and duties of the senior Law Officer, the Attorney-General is expected to disregard any political interest or partisan advantage/disadvantage to the Government or opposition parties. The same applies to the Solicitor-General. However the public interest on any given issue cannot be determined in isolation from practical realities, and that may require that political factors be considered along with others. The crucial point is that in advising and making decisions, both law officers must not make decisions with the aim of securing any political or similar advantage.

Relationship with the Judiciary

24. The Attorney-General carries the principal responsibility in government for the relationship of the executive government with the judiciary. The Attorney-General also has responsibility for the appointment of members of the judiciary, namely the Court of Appeal, High Court, and District Court judges.
25. In addition, by convention in New Zealand, the Attorney-General has a particular responsibility for protecting the judiciary from improper and unfair criticism, for example, by answering attacks on their decisions and by actively discouraging other Ministers from engaging in improper attacks or criticism.
26. Also important to the effective functioning of the judiciary is that people who act in a manner that interferes with the administration of justice in particular cases are made accountable. It is the Law Officers' responsibility (in practice undertaken by the Solicitor-General) to bring proceedings for contempt of court in such cases. The most common instance is pre-trial media publicity of a kind that tends to prejudice a specific criminal trial before a jury. This is separate from the power of the Police under s 138 of the Criminal Justice Act 1985 to charge any person with an offence who breaches an order forbidding the publication of evidence, submissions or the details of any witness.

Appointments to High Court

27. The details of the processes for the appointment of High Court Judges and Masters, are set out in two brochures prepared by the Judicial Appointments Unit, copies of which are **attached** as appendices. The key new features of the processes are as follows:
- 27.1 regular publication of advertisements calling for expressions of interests for those interested in appointment to the High Court;
 - 27.2 requirement that all prospective candidates for appointment complete a formal expression of interest;
 - 27.3 more formalised consultation process which includes a formal opportunity for those consulted to nominate prospective candidates.

Judicial complaints

28. A new formalised system for dealing with judicial complaints has been recently implemented. Details of the process are set out in a brochure, issued jointly by the office of the Attorney-General and the Chief Justice, a copy of which is **attached** as an appendix. The system provides for complaints about the conduct of a judge to be directed to the relevant head of bench. The head of bench has an obligation to facilitate consideration of the complaint by the relevant judge. Where it is concluded that there is no basis for the complaint, the complainant has the opportunity to refer the matter to a lay observer. The lay observer can recommend that the head of bench facilitate a reconsideration of a complaint. This system does not go as far as other models adopted elsewhere, for example, that adopted in Canada. Further, there has been limited opportunity for considering the appropriateness and utility of this process to date. The Ministry of Justice is currently developing a number of proposals for inclusion in a Judicial Matters Bill, including the formation of a Judicial Commission to deal with judicial complaints.

Judicial Matters Bill

29. In addition to reforming the judicial complaints procedure, the Judicial Matters Bill intends to apply the removal procedures applicable for High Court Judges to a much broader range of judicial officers. The Bill will also extend the judicial immunity applicable to High Court Judges to that same range of judicial officers.

Future of appeals to Privy Council

30. On 20 May 2002, Cabinet confirmed the removal of New Zealand appeal rights to the Privy Council and agreed to the establishment of a Supreme Court, giving effect to the recommendations of the Ministerial Advisory Group.
31. The Supreme Court Bill is currently being drafted by Parliamentary Counsel. The Ministry of Justice is responsible for the passage of legislation and the Crown Law Office has been involved in consultations on the draft provisions of the Bill.

The Attorney-General and Parliament

32. As a Minister, the Attorney-General must answer to Parliament for the actions of the agencies under the Attorney's ministerial control (Crown Law, Serious Fraud and Parliamentary Counsel Offices), and for the exercise of law officer powers.
33. The Attorney-General also has special responsibilities to Parliament in relation to legislation. These underscore the independence with which the duties of the Attorney-General must be exercised.
- In terms of s 7 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990, the Attorney-General reports to the House of Representatives any provision in a bill introduced to Parliament that is inconsistent with the Bill of Rights.⁶

⁶ For all bills apart from those for which the Minister of Justice has responsibility, the Attorney is advised on this matter by the Ministry of Justice reflecting the view that in its preliminary stages the Bill of Rights vetting process raises policy matters. To avoid any perception of a conflict of interests, bills promoted by the Minister of

- The Attorney may also approve the giving of legal advice by the Crown Law Office to Parliamentary select committees if they seek legal assistance. The main role of the Office is however to advise the Government and the provision of advice to select committees accordingly should be in limited circumstances.
- By convention the Attorney-General is also Chair of Parliament's Privileges Committee.

Attorney-General's functions in relation to the criminal justice system

Introduction

34. The Attorney-General traditionally heads the justice system. The supervision of criminal prosecutions is one of the areas in which the Attorney-General (with the Solicitor-General) exercises powers, functions and duties relating to the proper administration of justice in the public interest.
35. Prosecution is a key part of the administration of justice. Prosecutions must be carried out independently from the exercise of executive power. The public interest requires the interests of society to be upheld in a principled way. There is a need for the supervision of the exercise of prosecutorial discretion especially since prosecution decision-making is not (other than in extreme cases) subject to judicial review.
36. By tradition, successive Attorney-Generals have preferred not to become directly involved in the areas of prosecution or Law Officer decisions by the Solicitor-General in relation to criminal proceedings. The reason for this convention is to prevent the administration of criminal law becoming, or appearing to become, a matter of political decision-making. The Solicitor-General is of course accountable to the Attorney-General for the overall supervision of criminal prosecutions.

Division of responsibilities

37. It has accordingly been usual for the Solicitor-General rather than the Attorney-General to exercise the following Law Officer functions and powers:
- 37.1 The Solicitor-General usually exercises the statutory powers in the criminal law process to approve those prosecutions which require the consent of the Attorney-General, to decide whether to stay prosecutions, to grant any witness or other person immunity from prosecution, and to deal with requests from other countries for extradition and mutual criminal assistance.
- 37.2 The Crown has a right of appeal against inadequate sentences imposed against defendants under s 382(2) of the Crimes Act 1961 and s 115A of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957. This is a function that under the

legislation can be exercised only by the Solicitor-General. This maintains consistency and integrity in the process.

37.3 The Solicitor-General must also, under statute, represent the Crown in court when convicted persons appeal against their convictions or sentences.

37.3.1 In addition, under the Crimes (Criminal Appeals) Amendment Act 2001, the Solicitor-General must appear (through Crown Counsel) at every hearing concerning an application for leave to appeal.

37.3.2 The February 2002 Privy Council decision in *Bennett & Taito* may mean that up to 1500 appeals will have to be reheard.

37.3.3 The combination of these two matters, and the transfer of responsibility for legal aid from the Court of Appeal to the Legal Services Agency, is expected to increase the number of appeals dealt with by the Office's Criminal Process Group.

38. The Solicitor-General, through Crown Counsel from the Criminal Process Group within the Crown Law Office, usually represents the Crown on criminal appeals to the Court of Appeal. Crown Solicitors and counsel in their firms are sometimes briefed to undertake this work on instructions from the Crown Law Office.

39. The present system ensures that these appeals are conducted by counsel with particular expertise in appellate advocacy, who can bring greater objectivity to the appellate argument as a result of not having personally prosecuted at trial. Where the complexity of a matter warrants it, prosecuting counsel will be briefed for the appeal. However counsel within the Crown Law Office who regularly conduct these appeals develop a depth of understanding of the principles of criminal law, evidence and criminal procedure, which is of invaluable assistance to the court.

40. Most of the Attorney-General's functions, duties, and powers can be exercised or performed by the Solicitor-General. Constitutional responsibilities mean this applies only to Law Officer and not to Ministerial functions. The exercise of power is an original exercise, not a delegation by the Attorney-General. There are also some powers which can be exercised only by the Attorney-General, for example, the reclassification of special patients under the Criminal Justice Act 1985 and the certification of grants of legal aid for appeals to the Privy Council. Equally there are some functions which are specially vested by statute in the Solicitor-General. These include the power to appeal against sentence and powers under the Coroners Act 1988 to authorise an inquest into deaths occurring outside New Zealand and to authorise fresh inquests where there is new evidence.

SOLICITOR-GENERAL

Introduction

41. Holding office under an appointment (under prerogative rather than statutory power) from the Governor-General, the Solicitor-General is:
- Chief Executive of the Crown Law Office.
 - Chief legal advisor to the Government, subject to any views expressed by the Attorney-General.
 - The Government's chief advocate in the courts.
 - Responsible for the conduct of the prosecution of indictable crime.
 - Responsible for the provision of constitutional advice to the Government and to the Governor-General.
 - Has a number of statutory duties and functions, in particular in relation to the administration of criminal justice.

Roles and Functions

42. Importantly, the office of the Solicitor-General is a non-political one.

Chief Executive

43. As the head of an office which is a department of Government, the Solicitor-General has the responsibilities of a chief executive under the State Sector Act 1988. In practice, with the authority of successive Attorneys-General, many of the departmental management functions are delegated to the Practice Manager of the Crown Law Office. This reflects the reality that the Solicitor-General's responsibilities as the Government's chief legal adviser and advocate take up substantial time. Unlike most other chief executives, the Solicitor-General is not appointed under the State Sector Act 1988. That Act recognises the Solicitor-General's independent status in Government. In terms of s 44, the Solicitor-General (in common with the State Services Commissioner, the Commissioner of Police and the Controller and Auditor-General) is not subject to the formal accountabilities facing other chief executives in the public service.

Chief legal advisor and advocate

44. Subject only to the prior position of the Attorney-General, the Solicitor-General is the Government's chief legal adviser and its chief advocate in the courts. The Solicitor-General appears in court as counsel for the Government. Such appearances are in cases considered to be of particular significance and are usually at appellate level. The role also involves the Solicitor-General personally

⁷ The Law Commission is due to report shortly on a number of aspects of the prosecution system, including consideration of the state's involvement in the prosecution function.

giving legal advice to Ministers, departments and agencies of Government covering the full spectrum of functions of Government. The Solicitor-General's advice is generally treated as definitive on legal questions coming before the Government. If a court later concludes the Solicitor-General's advice was wrong it is the Solicitor-General rather than the Government who is then open to criticism. The Solicitor-General also exercises a number of specific functions within the Crown's prosecution process as discussed above.

45. The Solicitor-General has a responsibility to give legal and constitutional advice to the Governor-General, a function which emphasises the Solicitor-General's non-political and constitutional role in government and ultimate responsibility to the Crown.

Division of responsibilities

46. Sometimes questions arise as to who should exercise responsibilities which generally might fall to the Solicitor-General but which due to the special circumstances of the particular case might more appropriately be referred to the Attorney-General. For some years the practice has been for the Solicitor-General to exercise all Attorney-General functions unless there is a matter of particular public importance which appears to involve issues of political importance. Such matters are referred to the Attorney-General for consideration of whether the Attorney wishes to act personally. The termination of prosecutions in the Rainbow Warrior case in November 1991 (following a decision not to seek extradition from Switzerland of a person to face charges in New Zealand) is an example of exercise of power to terminate prosecutions by the Attorney-General. That course was followed as the reasons for the decision involved questions of international politics and trade rather than criminal law administration. In any case of difference as to who should exercise powers, the view of the Attorney-General prevails as the senior law officer, with political authority. On many issues the two law officers of the Crown will work together and the Attorney-General always has available to him or her the advice of the Solicitor-General and the Crown Law Office. Cases where the respective views of the Attorney-General and Solicitor-General have differed have been rare. Even when acting independently it is the Solicitor-General's duty to keep the Attorney-General informed of significant decisions that are taken.

CROWN LAW OFFICE

Role and Functions

47. The Crown Law Office is a department of the public service with specialist responsibilities for providing legal advice and representation to the Government in matters affecting the Crown, and in particular, government departments and Ministers. In common with many other departments there is no statutory basis for the establishment of the Office. Although categorised as a department under the State Sector Act 1988, it has no general responsibility for policy formulation or legislation. Occasionally however, the Government has preferred, because of particular circumstances, to have the Office take on a particular project. This most often occurs with respect to issues of a constitutional nature. The Solicitor-General, for example, in his role as constitutional advisor to government in 1995

provided a report for government on the question of removal of appeals to the Privy Council and in 2001-02 chaired a Ministerial Advisory Group on a replacement for the Privy Council. Similar input has been sought by Attorneys-General in relation to the judicial appointments process for the Court of Appeal and High Court.

48. In practice the Office operates and provides services to Ministers, departments and Government agencies in much the same way as a private sector law firm does for its clients. In essence, the Office is the Government's law firm, although it does not purport to provide the full range of legal services (i.e. it focuses on advice and litigation work rather than transactional work). Its two primary aims reflect the role of the Attorney-General and those aims have been encapsulated, for planning purposes within the Office, in the following statement of strategic intent:

“As lawyers to the New Zealand Government, our objective is to ensure that Government achieves its objectives within the framework of the law, and to provide advice and representation to clients in areas where Government interests and significant issues of public sector governance arise.”

49. It is the function of the Crown Law Office to support the office of the Attorney-General and the Solicitor-General in his role. In particular, the Office is responsible for:

- 49.1 the provision of legal advice and representation services to Ministers of the Crown, government departments and agencies;
- 49.2 supporting and assisting the Attorney-General and the Solicitor-General in the performance of their statutory and other functions as Law Officers of the Crown;
- 49.3 administering the Crown Solicitors Regulations 1994;
- 49.4 assisting the Solicitor-General with the conduct of criminal appeals;
- 49.5 assisting the Solicitor-General in the supervision, direction and performance of Crown prosecutions.

Cabinet Directions

50. The Office's relationships with Ministers and government departments are the subject of the Cabinet Directions for the Conduct of Crown Legal Business approved by Cabinet in May 1993. A copy of the Cabinet Directions is **attached** as an appendix. The essential features of the Cabinet Directions are retention of the overall responsibility for, and control by, the Attorney-General and Solicitor-General of legal representation of, and legal advice to, Ministers and the executive Government. Within that framework of underlying control, chief executives of departments are given flexibility for choice of legal services in particular areas. Broadly the scheme is as set out below.

- 50.1 The legal services required by Government are divided into two categories: Category 1 comprises legal services that relate to core

functions of Government, for example, constitutional law and legal issues relating to the protection of the revenue. Category 2 comprises other legal services which are not special to Government, but rather common to those required by any large industrial or commercial concern, for example, conveyancing and employment issues.

- 50.2 In the case of Category 1 legal services, departments must discharge their duty to obtain competent legal advice either from sources within the department or by referring matters to the Solicitor-General. The Solicitor-General briefs out cases to private lawyers where in his view specialist expertise or particular skills not immediately available in the Office are required.
- 50.3 In the case of work falling into Category 2, departments may engage the services of the Crown Law Office or those of private lawyers. Where departments choose to engage private lawyers, the chief executive is under a duty to ensure that the lawyers engaged have an appropriate level of expertise for the work, have no conflicts of interest and are adequately supervised by the department. The flexibility in Category 2 work reflects the fact that it is part of mainstream private sector legal services, and will often be more effectively and efficiently performed by selected lawyers in private practice.

Organisation and Structure of Crown's Legal Services

51. The Crown Law Office has had to expand considerably over the past ten years to meet the increasing demands of government for its legal services. Further significant expansion is not envisaged. The Office is now well placed to provide high quality, client-focused, legal services. Additional demands can be met by ensuring appropriate flexibility within the organisational structure and by maintaining the Office's primary focus on those areas of its core business in which the Office has a high level of expertise. The three areas of core business are constitutional law and governance⁸, Crown prosecutions and Criminal appeals⁹, and Governmental Public Law¹⁰.
52. The advantages of the Crown Law Office's present structure as a stand-alone government department include its resultant independence. Its independence from other departments allows it to resist any tendency to be "captured" by the views or philosophies of any one of them and allows it to have a single "Crown" view. The Office, likewise, is usually free from conflicts of interest although where these do arise (where for instance this Office acts for both a court or tribunal under review and one of the parties) outside counsel can be briefed.

⁸ Representation and advice on the application of and compliance with constitutional statutes and other instruments such as the Treaty of Waitangi, constitutional conventions, and other constitutional principles, and representation and advice on the protection of the Revenue.

⁹ Contributing to the lawful and fair administration of the criminal justice system through an excellent and principled prosecution system based on the Crown Solicitor network; providing advice and assistance to those responsible for the conduct of Crown prosecutions; and conduct of criminal appeals.

¹⁰ Representation and advice on public law issues affecting the definition, exercise, and control of government power and other significant issues of public sector governance

53. Responsibility for policy matters coming within the Office's core business, such as constitutional and criminal law, rests primarily with the Ministry of Justice. The division of responsibility between the advice and representation functions of the Crown Law Office and the policy responsibilities of the Ministry has the advantage of ensuring independent legal advice and representation. The Crown Law Office, with its broader responsibilities to the Attorney-General, can also ensure a wider governmental perspective is brought to bear on its advice and representation.
54. The present arrangements ensure that there is both expertise and consistency in the legal advice given to the Government. In this sense, the Office can exercise a co-ordinating role in the provision of government legal services. It is also highly desirable that the Government's approach in litigation is consistent with legal advice given.
55. The present system for the provision of legal services across government, involving a devolved system of lawyers in government departments employed by departmental chief executives coupled with an independent Crown Law Office, in the end is most likely to ensure respect for legal values. In this regard, the Office clearly has an important role to play in ensuring there is an understanding of the values underlying the Attorney-General's role across the public service and in ensuring those values are reflected in the policy development process. The standing and effectiveness of the Office in this work is firmly linked to the role of the Solicitor-General as head of the Office and of course is supported by the fact that the Attorney-General retains the right to intervene.

Crown Solicitors

Role and Relationship

56. Crown Solicitors are legal practitioners in private practice whose main responsibility is to conduct the prosecution of jury trials in the High and District Courts for the Crown. Their focus in this work is presenting the case in court on the basis of the evidence that the Police have gathered for the committal process. They do not act for defendants or accused in criminal matters, nor can they act against the Crown (unless the Solicitor-General agrees in a particular case or class of case). Crown Solicitors have expertise in the criminal law and experience in interpreting the particular professional and ethical obligations of fairness required of prosecutors. The role also involves providing independent advice to the Police on matters associated with prosecutions and may involve advice and legal representation in prosecutions by government departments. Crown Solicitors also have partners and employees who assist in the conduct of prosecutions. Most criminal proceedings are initiated by the Police. The Police also prosecute summary offences and act independently in deciding to conduct both investigations and prosecutions. If a matter in the summary jurisdiction is complex or difficult, a Crown Solicitor may be instructed in a summary prosecution.
57. The Police also initiate indictable matters and take responsibility for their progress until committal for trial. However, once a person has been committed for trial in an indictable matter the responsibility ceases to be that of the Police and becomes

that of the Crown Solicitor. The Crown Solicitors' independence from the Police in the discharge of their functions is one of the protections of the citizen.

58. There are currently 16 Crown Solicitor warrants for different centres in New Zealand all issued by the Governor-General. The Solicitor-General makes recommendations for the appointment for Crown Solicitors and, wherever necessary, termination of their warrants. In essence, the Crown Solicitors operate under a delegated authority from the Law Officers.
59. Crown Solicitors report to the Solicitor-General on the conduct of the criminal prosecutions they undertake for the Crown. The Solicitor-General has issued Prosecution Guidelines for the conduct of prosecutions which the Crown Solicitors, and the Police, must follow. The current Guidelines are attached as an appendix. Those guidelines are currently being revised to ensure they remain a proper basis for the conduct of prosecutions.¹¹
60. The day to day supervision and management of Crown Solicitor prosecutions is carried out through the Deputy Solicitor-General (Criminal) on behalf of the Solicitor-General as set out below.
- 60.1 As noted, the Crown Solicitors are required to follow the Solicitor-General's Prosecution Guidelines in the conduct of their prosecutions. On some specific matters, e.g. the acceptance of a guilty plea to manslaughter during the course of a murder trial, Crown Solicitors are obliged to refer the question to the Crown Law Office for decision.
- 60.2 The Crown Solicitors are obliged to refer to the Crown Law Office law officer matters arising in any of their prosecutions. Some legislation also requires the Crown Solicitors to approach the Solicitor-General on matters relating to their prosecutions.
- 60.3 The Solicitor-General requires all Crown Solicitors to operate a Crown prosecution panel consisting of one or more local practitioners outside of the Crown Solicitor's firm. Panel members are periodically briefed to conduct indictable prosecutions on behalf of the Crown Solicitor.
- 60.4 The Crown Law Office administers the payment of Crown Solicitors' fees pursuant to the Crown Solicitor Regulations 1994. The Office has devised protocols for Crown Solicitors for charging and approvals required under those Regulations. As well, the Office has developed financial management guidelines for the payment to Crown Solicitors for their prosecutions.
61. Government departments can conduct in house prosecutions in the summary jurisdiction. Such prosecutions arise out of legislation which regulates fisheries, customs, indecent publications, and the like. In complex cases Crown Solicitors may be instructed to conduct such prosecutions. If such a prosecution involves the committal of a person for trial by jury, the trial is conducted by or on the instructions of a Crown Solicitor.

¹¹ The Law Commission is due to report shortly on a number of aspects of the prosecution system, including consideration of the state's involvement in the prosecution function.

Crown Solicitor Performance Review


62. The Crown Law Office conducts a process of rolling review of all Crown Solicitors. This performance review process is carried out on a consultative basis with both the Crown Solicitor involved and other parties in the criminal justice system (judges, defence lawyers, Police etc.). The review is carried out by the Deputy Solicitor-General (Criminal) and an independent reviewer.
63. The review is undertaken according to a structured process designed to obtain information about the conduct and quality of prosecutions conducted by the Crown Solicitor, his partners and staff. It is also designed to assist the Crown Solicitor to improve performance, if necessary, and to provide an opportunity for the Crown Solicitors to develop their practice in ways that encourage excellence in the carrying out of their obligations.
64. The intention of the review process over time is to provide better accountability, consistency and quality over the Crown Solicitor network in the delivery of the Crown prosecution function.
65. The review process also provides information on criminal justice issues which have wider application. If the issues are important, the Crown Law Office can then take up these issues with the appropriate authorities.

Serious Fraud Office Prosecutions

66. Under the Serious Fraud Office Act 1990 the Serious Fraud Office was set up to detect, investigate and prosecute cases of serious and complex fraud. In deciding to investigate and prosecute, the Director acts and operates independently. When the Director has determined that a prosecution should commence, the actual prosecution is carried out by senior barristers in private practice. This is a legislative requirement.
67. The Solicitor-General, in consultation with the Director of the Serious Fraud Office, appoints these barristers to a prosecution panel. The Director determines which member of the panel should prosecute any particular case. The panel members are independent of the Serious Fraud Office and the intention is that they bring an objective approach to the prosecution. Indictments are laid in the name of the Solicitor-General, although the prosecution continues to be managed by the Serious Fraud Office. Serious Fraud Office prosecutions on indictment are supervised by Crown Law Office in much the same way as those of the Crown Solicitors.
68. In conducting a Serious Fraud Office prosecution on indictment these panel members are required to follow Crown Law Office protocols in their conduct of the prosecution. This involves regular reporting to the Deputy Solicitor-General (Criminal).
69. The prosecutions are billed to Crown Law Office pursuant to Crown Solicitor Regulations 1994. There is an exception operating for these prosecutions relating to preparation time allowed because of their complexity and nature.

Management and Administration of the Crown Law Office

70. The Crown Law Office is currently structured into nine client servicing legal teams and a corporate services group as shown by the following organisation chart:



71. The Office has been reviewing the current structure of its legal teams to consider how to improve the level of support provided to the Solicitor-General and the Attorney. The review, which has recently concluded, will bring together combinations of the current teams into three groups each headed by a Deputy Solicitor-General. The proposed changes, which will be implemented shortly, are aimed at addressing professional leadership and development needs which have eventuated through the increase in size of the Office, and improving the sharing and co-ordination, of resources and the capacity to service Ministers and clients.

Senior Management Group

72. The Senior Management Group of the Crown Law Office comprises:

Terence Arnold QC	Solicitor-General
Nicola Crutchley	Deputy Solicitor-General, and Team Leader of Criminal and Crown Solicitors and Human Rights Teams

¹² *Staffing Structure within Legal Teams*: Team Leaders, Crown Counsel, Associate Crown Counsel, Assistant Crown Counsel, Legal Executives/Litigation Support and Secretarial Support.

Helen Aikman	Deputy Solicitor-General, and Team Leader of Law Officer Team
Robin Turner	Practice Manager

Other Legal Team Leaders

(TBA)	Crown Counsel, Commercial Regulatory
James Coleman	Crown Counsel, Taxation
Karen Clark	Crown Counsel, Public Commercial
Peter Gunn	Crown Counsel, Employment and Education
Virginia Hardy	Crown Counsel, Treaty Issues and International Law
Malcolm Parker	Crown Counsel, Land and Resource Management

Human Resource Management

73. The number of employees presently permanently employed are as follows¹³:

	30 June 2002
Solicitor-General, Deputy Solicitors-General and Practice Manager	4
Crown Counsel	36
Associate Crown Counsel	6
Assistant Crown Counsel	21
Legal Support	17
Secretarial/Word Processing	32
Corporate Services Group	21
Total number of employees	137
NB: Within this total there are part-time and job share arrangements	
NB: cf as at November 1999 - total staff of 124 comprising 61 legal and 63 support	

Statement of Intent

74. The Office will be required to develop a Statement of Intent for the first time for the year ended 30 June 2004. This will involve the Office, the Minister and other stakeholders in planning the development of outcomes and risk management strategies for Vote:Attorney-General in the coming financial year.

Expenditure and Appropriations

75. The Office is currently finalising its actual financial results for the year ended 30 June 2002 ready for audit.
76. A summary of the revenue and expenditure appropriations approved for Vote:Attorney-General for the year ended 30 June 2002 is as follows:

¹³ The number of legal support staff are increased from time to time by temporary staff in order to manage peaks in the volume of work undertaken by the Office.

Departmental Output Class	Revenue: Crown Law Office \$000	Revenue: other \$000	Total Expenses \$000	Surplus./ Deficit \$000
Legal Advice and Representation ¹⁴	-	14,888	14,064	824
Supervision and Conduct of Crown Prosecutions ¹⁵	24,718	-	24,718	-
Conduct of Criminal Appeals ¹⁶	1,377	-	1,377	-
The Exercise of Principal Law Officer Functions ¹⁷	1,392	-	1,392	-
	27,487	14,888	41, 551	824

[All amounts are expressed in GST inclusive terms.]

¹⁴ To provide legal advice and representation with special emphasis on constitutional and other public law areas, taxation and criminal law for Ministers of the Crown, central government departments and Crown agencies.

¹⁵ To direct and administer the work of Crown Solicitors in criminal trials on indictment, and appeals against convictions and sentencing arising out of summary prosecutions.

¹⁶ To determine whether Crown appeals against sentence are lodged and to appear or arrange representation at the hearing of appeals whether brought by the Crown or by offenders following trials on indictment.

¹⁷ To provide legal and administrative services to the Attorney-General and the Solicitor-General to assist them in the exercise of their Principal Law Officer functions. The functions include monitoring of enforcement and application of the law, supervision of charities, representation of the public interest, relator proceedings, and the exercise of a variety of powers, duties and authorities arising from various statutory requirements and constitutional conventions.

APPENDIX

Statutory Functions of the Attorney-General and Solicitor-General

Part A: The Attorney-General's fiat is required to prosecute under the provisions listed below.

- Antarctica Act 1960 s 3 (crimes in Ross Dependency)
- Antarctica (Environmental Protection) Act 1994 s 6 (crimes anywhere in Antarctica outside the Ross Dependency)
- Armed Forces Discipline Act 1971 s 74
- Aviation Crimes Act 1972 ss 10 & 18 (hijacking etc)
- Chemical Weapons (Prohibition) Act 1996 s 5 (extraterritorial application)
- Companies (Bondholders Incorporation) Act 1934-35 s 3
- Continental Shelf Act 1964, s 7
- Cook Islands Act 1915 s 155
- Crimes Act 1961 ss 8A, 10B, 78, 78A (see s 8B inserted by 1982 No 157) (espionage and wrongful communication of Official Information), 100, 101, 104, 105, 105A 105B (all within s 106) 106 (Bribery etc), 123 (Libel), 124 (Indecent Matter), 144A, 144B (sexual conduct with children outside New Zealand) 230 (Criminal breach of trust) 400 (Offences on Ships or Aircraft), 144A, 144B (Sexual offences outside New Zealand), 144C
- Crimes (Internationally Protected Persons and Hostages) Act 1980 s 14
- Crimes of Torture Act 1989 s 12
- Evidence Act 1908 s 48J (evidence by foreign authorities)
- Films Videos and Publications Classification Act 1993 s 144
- Fisheries Act 1996 s 113ZE (illegal fishing or transportation in the fisheries jurisdiction of a foreign country and unlawful use of foreign vessel by NZ national)
- Flags Emblems and Names Protection Act 1981 s 25
- Geneva Conventions Act 1958 s 3 (grave breach of convention) s 8 (use of Red Cross)

- Human Rights Act 1993 ss 131, 132 (inciting racial disharmony), 134, 135 (miscellaneous provisions)
- Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security Act 1996 ss 28, 29
- Intelligence and Security Committee Act 1996 s 20
- Maritime Crimes Act 1999 s 17 (crimes relating to ships and fixed platforms)
- Maritime Transport Act 1994, s 224
- Misuse of Drugs Act 1975 ss 12C (commission of offences outside NZ), 34A (prosecution of undercover officers)
- NZ Nuclear Free Zone Disarmament and Arms Control Act 1987 s 15
- Niue Act 1966 s 108
- Nuclear-Test-Ban Act 1999 s 6 (offences outside NZ)
- Radiocommunications Act s 134A
- Secret Commissions Act 1910 s 12
- Summary Offences Act 1981 ss 20A (1982 No 159) (official information), 42 (false claim of qualifications)
- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Act 1996 s 9
- United Nations (Police) Act 1964 s 4 (trial in NZ of crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Angola) Regulations 1993 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro)) Regulations 1992 regulation 24 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Iraq) Regulations 1991 regulation 24 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Liberia) Regulations 1992 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Libya) Regulations 1993 regulation 16 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina) Regulations 1994 regulation 9 (crimes outside NZ)

- United Nations Sanctions (Rwanda) Regulations 1994 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Sierra Leone) Regulations 1997 regulation 13 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Somalia) Regulations 1992 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Yugoslavia) Regulations 1991 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- Wellington Waterworks Act 1871 s 62

Part B: Other Statutory Functions:

- Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989 ss 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 14, 15, 26 (publication of acts of Parliament, regulations etc)
- Adoption Act 1955 s 20(3) (no application for discharge of adoption orders without approval of Attorney-General)
- Adoption (Intercountry) Act 1997 s 11 (recognition of convention adoptions - no application without approval of Attorney-General)
- Administration Act 1969 s 22 (estate vests in Crown if no executor appointed)
- Anglican Church Trusts Act 1981 ss 15, 16A
- Armed Forces Discipline Act 1971 ss 192(4), 192 (5) and (6) (Minister of Health with concurrence of Attorney-General may direct special patient be detained as committed - power not able to be exercised by Solicitor-General) s 192(8). Section 196(2) (Attorney-General may order mentally disordered prisoner to psychiatric hospital)
- Building Societies Act 1965 ss 14 and 19 (registration and alteration of rules referred to Solicitor-General)
- Charitable Trusts Act 1957 ss 25, 58 and 60 and Parts III and IV (winding up, enquiries into charities and reporting/approval of schemes)
- Chateau Companies Act 1977 s 20 (duty to report offences)
- Chattels Transfer Act 1924 s 5 (reprinting of Act)
- College House Act 1985 ss 3, 5, 6 (scheme approval)
- Commerce Act 1986 s 9 (members of Commerce Commission appointed after consultation with Attorney-General)

- Constitution Act 1986 s 9A (Solicitor-General may perform functions of Attorney-General), s 9C (delegation of powers)
- Copyright Act 1964 s 212 (parties to proceedings)
- Coroners Act 1988 ss 16 (deaths outside New Zealand), 38, 39 and 40 (fresh inquests)
- Corporations (Investigation and Management) Act 1989 s 69 (duty to report offences to Solicitor-General)
- Courts Martial Appeals Act 1953 ss 10 and 18 (Certificate on point of law for appeal to Court of Appeal)
- Crimes Act 1961 ss 345 (presenting indictment), 378 (stay of proceedings), 383(2) (Solicitor-General right of appeal against sentence), 388 (time for appealing) 390 (duty of Solicitor-General to appear for the Crown)
- Crimes of Torture Act 1989 ss 5 (Attorney-General to consider compensation), 11 (restrictions on surrender of offenders)
- Criminal Justice Act 1985 ss 14 (naming Crown as party to proceedings), 15 (recovery of debts in District Court) 16 (service of documents) 22 23 and 24 (barristers and solicitors acting for Attorney-General etc), 21 (Solicitor-General may apply for order for offender to come up for sentence if called on), 21A(7) (the Solicitor-General can apply to the court for the making of an order where a court sentences an offender for a further offence without taking into account the existence of a suspended sentence), 116 and note s 116(8) (special patient held as committed patient – power not able to be exercised by Solicitor-General)
- Crown Proceedings Act 1956 ss 14 ff (making Crown a party to proceedings), 20 (judgments for fines)
- Crown Solicitors Regulations 1994 regulation 4 (Solicitor-General to determine rates), 6 (Solicitor-General to certify fees), 7 (Solicitor-General may delegate), 8(2), 12 (special fees), 25 (second counsel), 26 and 27
- District Courts Act 1947 s 28H (Solicitor-General appeal against sentence)
- Education Act 1989 ss 113 and 156C (Solicitor-General approves scheme)
- Electoral Act 1993 s 257 (report of High Court re corrupt practice laid before Attorney-General)
- Employment Contracts Act 1991 s 15 (restriction on right of entry)
- Enemy Property Act 1951 s 6 (Attorney-General may vest property in custodian)
- Evidence Act 1908 ss 48A (letters or request - application by Solicitor-General), 48H (Attorney-General certification of request for evidence prejudicial to NZ),

48I (Attorney-General may prohibit production of documents requested by foreign authority)

- Evidence Amendment Act 1980 (No 2) s 42 (protection of witnesses)
- Extradition (United States of America) Order 1970 Article X (Attorney-General to authenticate documents)
- Family Proceedings Act 1980 s 161 (intervention)
- Friendly Societies and Credit Unions Act 1982 s 2 (Solicitor-General is revising barrister)
- Government Superannuation Fund Act 1956 s 73 (application to Solicitor-General)
- Health and Disability Commissioner Act 1994 s 64 (disclosure of certain matters)
- Health Reforms (Transitional Provisions) Act 1993 s 11
- High Court Rules ss 381 (Solicitor-General re letters of request), 95 (relator proceedings)
- Higher Salaries Commission Act 1977 4th Schedule and s 12(1)(a)(iv)
- Human Rights Act 1993 s 129 (giving information prejudice detection of offences or disclose Cabinet proceedings)
- Immigration Act 1987 s 33A (revocation of temporary permit for purposes of mutual assistance)
- International War Crimes Tribunals Act 1995
- Judicature Act 1908 ss 51A publication of High Court Rules, 51B (Solicitor-General and Attorney-General members of the Rules Committee), 88A (vexatious litigants), Rule 95(relators), 9A Amicus (costs), 381 (Solicitor-General letters of request from abroad), 438A, 718 (Solicitor-General to appoint amicus if requested)
- Land Transfer Act 1952 s 176 (judgment against absconders)
- Law Practitioners Act 1982 s 31 (appointment of members of Council of Legal Education on advice of Attorney-General)
- Legal Services Act 1991 ss 4(b) and 19(1)(b)(ii) (Attorney-General's Certificate required for legal aid to Privy Council)
- Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 s 31 (disclosure of information)
- Mental Health (Compulsory Assessment and Treatment) Act 1992 ss 77 to 81 (Special Patients)

- Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries (Restructuring) Act 1995 s 6 (savings relating to references and proceedings)
- Ministries of Agriculture and Forestry (Restructuring) Act 1997 s 5 (savings relating to references and proceedings)
- Misuse of Drugs Act 1975 s 35C (restrictions on surrender)
- Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters 1992
- New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 s 7 (Attorney-General to report to Parliament where bill inconsistent)
- New Zealand Council of Law Reporting 1938 s 7 (Solicitor-General and Attorney-General members of Council)
- New Zealand Security Intelligence Service Act 1969 ss 4A (issue of interception warrant), 4G (Solicitor-General, destruction of irrelevant records), 5G (exercise of functions of Commissioner of Security Warrants)
- Official Information Act 1982 s 31(b) (disclosure of information prejudice detention of offences)
- Ombudsmen Act 1975 ss 20 (disclosure of information prejudicial to security), 9(2) (ombudsmen power to examine persons on oath with approval of Attorney-General), 27 (Attorney-General may exclude certain premises from search)
- Parliamentary Service Act 1985 s 64 (Attorney-General to determine maximum number of staff of Parliamentary Counsel Office)
- Patents Act 1953 ss 41 (revocation of patents), 76 (Attorney-General's right to appear to protect public interest), 102 (cancellation of registration of patent attorneys)
- Police Complaints Authority Act 1988 ss 26(1)(b) (disclosure of certain matters not required if Attorney-General certifies), 29 (implementation of recommendations), 34 (publications)
- Port Companies Act 1988 s 36 (Attorney-General to enforce by civil proceedings)
- Presbyterian Church Property Amendment Act 1996 s 13 (notice of scheme to be sent to Attorney-General)
- Privacy Act 1993 s 95 (disclosures of information)
- Proceeds of Crime Act 1991 ss 8, 17, 19, 26, 29, 39, 41, 59, 64, 66, 82 (Solicitor-General may make application to confiscate property and ancillary matters), 23B, 23C (foreign forfeiture orders (Solicitor-General)), 45

(Solicitor-General may give undertakings as to damages or costs), 66A, 66C, 66D, 66H (Solicitor-General – foreign restraining orders)

- Public Service Investment Society Management Act 1979 (No 2) s 23 (offences to be reported to Solicitor-General)
- Public Trust Office Act 1957 s 67 (application for scheme variation)
- Queens Counsel Regulations 1987 Regulation 3 (appointment) and 5 (appearance and proceedings against Crown)
- Rating Valuations Act 1998 s 57 (proceedings)
- Reprint of Statutes Act 1931 (certificate of Attorney-General to be incorporated in reprint)
- Roman Catholic Bishops Empowering Act 1997 Part 4 (Attorney-General must have notice of and may object to variation of trusts)
- School Trustees Act 1989 s 19 (Solicitor-General approves scheme)
- Serious Fraud Office Act 1990 ss 29 (Attorney-General responsible for the Serious Fraud Office), 11 (Solicitor-General to determine responsibility for investigating certain cases of fraud), 30 (Director acts independently from Attorney-General in investigations), 48 (prosecutors panel appointed by Solicitor-General), 1 (Attorney-General approves agreements with overseas agencies)
- State Sector Act 1988 s 44 (provisions relating to Chief Executives not apply to Solicitor-General; Solicitor-General Chief Executive)
- Statutes Drafting and Compilation Act 1920 ss 2 (Parliamentary Counsel Office to be under control of Attorney-General unless Attorney-General is not a Minister of the Crown), 4, 5 (Attorney-General may direct Bill Drafting Department to report as to effect of bills), 6 (number in Parliamentary Counsel Office), 8A (Attorney-General may authorise IRD to draft Government bills)
- Summary Proceedings Act 1957 ss 115A (Solicitor-General only - consent to appeal against sentence), 77A, 173 (stay of proceedings), 20A (official information)
- Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993 s 280(7) (consideration of prosecution after investigation of incorporation)
- Transport (Vehicle and Driver Registration and Licensing) Act 1986 s 19 (details of registers to be supplied to applicants - appeal to Attorney-General)
- Trans-Tasman Mutual Recognition Act 1997 s 53 (Attorney-General may be heard on review)
- Trustee Companies Act 1967 s 15 (administration by trustee company of benefit fund)

- Trustee Companies Management Act 1975 s 21 (duty to report offences to Solicitor-General)
- War Funds Act 1915

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S FIAT IS REQUIRED TO PROSECUTE UNDER THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS

- Antarctica Act 1960 s 3 (crimes in Ross Dependency)
- Antarctica (Environmental Protection) Act 1994 s 6 (crimes anywhere in Antarctica outside the Ross Dependency)
- Armed Forces Discipline Act 1971 s 74
- Aviation Crimes Act 1972 ss 10 & 18 (hijacking etc)
- Chemical Weapons (Prohibition) Act 1996 s 5 (extraterritorial application)
- Companies (Bondholders Incorporation) Act 1934-35 s 3
- Continental Shelf Act 1964, s 7
- Cook Islands Act 1915 s 155
- Crimes Act 1961 ss 8A, 10B, 78, 78A (see s 8B inserted by 1982 No 157) (espionage and wrongful communication of Official Information), 100, 101, 104, 105, 105A 105B (all within s 106) 106 (Bribery etc), 123 (Libel), 124 (Indecent Matter), 144A, 144B (sexual conduct with children outside New Zealand) 230 (Criminal breach of trust) 400 (Offences on Ships or Aircraft), 144A, 144B (Sexual offences outside New Zealand), 144C
- Crimes (Internationally Protected Persons and Hostages) Act 1980 s 14
- Crimes of Torture Act 1989 s 12
- Evidence Act 1908 s 48J (evidence by foreign authorities)
- Films Videos and Publications Classification Act 1993 s 144
- Fisheries Act 1996 s 113ZE (illegal fishing or transportation in the fisheries jurisdiction of a foreign country and unlawful use of foreign vessel by NZ national)
- Flags Emblems and Names Protection Act 1981 s 25
- Geneva Conventions Act 1958 s 3 (grave breach of convention) s 8 (use of Red Cross)
- Human Rights Act 1993 ss 131, 132 (inciting racial disharmony), 134, 135 (miscellaneous provisions)
- Inspector-General of Intelligence and Security Act 1996 ss 28, 29
- Intelligence and Security Committee Act 1996 s 20
- Maritime Crimes Act 1999 s 17 (crimes relating to ships and fixed platforms)

- Maritime Transport Act 1994, s 224
- Misuse of Drugs Act 1975 ss 12C (commission of offences outside NZ), 34A (prosecution of undercover officers)
- NZ Nuclear Free Zone Disarmament and Arms Control Act 1987 s 15
- Niue Act 1966 s 108
- Nuclear-Test-Ban Act 1999 s 6 (offences outside NZ)
- Radiocommunications Act s 134A
- Secret Commissions Act 1910 s 12
- Summary Offences Act 1981 ss 20A (1982 No 159) (official information), 42 (false claim of qualifications)
- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Act 1996 s 9
- United Nations (Police) Act 1964 s 4 (trial in NZ of crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Angola) Regulations 1993 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro)) Regulations 1992 regulation 24 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Iraq) Regulations 1991 regulation 24 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Liberia) Regulations 1992 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Libya) Regulations 1993 regulation 16 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina) Regulations 1994 regulation 9 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Rwanda) Regulations 1994 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Sierra Leone) Regulations 1997 regulation 13 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Somalia) Regulations 1992 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- United Nations Sanctions (Yugoslavia) Regulations 1991 regulation 12 (crimes outside NZ)
- Wellington Waterworks Act 1871 s 62

**CABINET DIRECTIONS FOR THE CONDUCT OF
CROWN LEGAL BUSINESS 1993**

- 1. These Directions may be cited as the Cabinet Directions for the Conduct of Crown Legal Business 1993.**

- 2. In these Directions, "Department" means a Department as defined in s.2 of the State Sector Act 1988, the New Zealand Police, and any agency of the Government subject to Ministerial direction or control, but does not include the Parliamentary Counsel Office or the Public Trust Office.**

- 3. These Directions shall apply to all Ministers and Departments. In cases of doubt, the Attorney-General shall decide whether these Directions apply to any particular agency.**

- 4. All requests by Ministers for legal advice or representation in matters in relation to their portfolios should in the first instance be addressed to the Attorney-General or to the Solicitor-General.**

- 5. Where any Department requires legal services from outside of its own legal staff it shall first establish in which of the following categories the requirement for services falls:**

MAS:3623

Category 1:-

(a)Representation or advice in relation to actual or imminent litigation to which the Government or agency is or may become a party

(b)Legal services involving questions of the lawfulness of the exercise of Government powers

(c)Constitutional questions including Treaty of Waitangi issues

(d)Issues relating to the enforcement of the criminal law

(e)Legal issues relating to the protection of the revenue

Category 2:-

All requirements for legal services not included in Category 1

6.(a)It shall be the duty of the Chief Executive of every Department whenever the interests of the Crown so require, to ensure that the Department obtains legal advice from its own legal staff or otherwise in accordance with these Directions

- (b) **All requirements by any Department for legal services within Category 1 to be provided other than by its own staff shall be referred to the Solicitor-General and will be dealt with either within or outside of the Crown Law Office as the Solicitor-General directs. Requests for such advice should be made to the Solicitor-General or other Crown Counsel designated by the Solicitor-General.**
- (c) **No Crown Solicitor or other lawyer in private practice is to be instructed by any Department in respect of requirements for legal services of a kind covered in Category 1, other than pursuant to a general or specific approval from the Solicitor-General, provided that any Department may instruct a Crown Solicitor without further authority than these Directions in respect of a summary prosecution or the taking of depositions for an indictable prosecution. All requirements by any Department or Government agency for legal services to be provided other than by its own staff falling into Category 2 may be referred to Crown Solicitors or other lawyers in private practice without further authority than these Directions provided that the Solicitor-General may intervene at any stage in a particular case to require that the legal services concerned be provided as the Solicitor-General directs. Where any question or dispute arises as to the category into which a particular requirement for legal services falls, it shall be resolved by the Solicitor-General.**

(d) Where the Department seeks legal services in accordance with these Directions from lawyers in private practice it will be the duty of the Chief Executive to ensure that those lawyers engaged are free of conflicts of interest, have an appropriate level of expertise for the work they are asked to undertake, and are adequately supervised by the Department in the work they are engaged to do.

7. Where an opinion is sought from the Crown Law Office on an issue over which there is a difference between Departments both or all of those Departments should advise the Crown Law Office of their views. An opinion will be given to all of them jointly.

8. Where an opinion relates to the responsibilities of more than one Department the Department which seeks the opinion shall be responsible for meeting the costs of it. Departments may however agree among themselves to share the cost of any opinion. The Department having responsibility in the relevant area shall meet the cost of legal services provided:

- (a) at the direction of Cabinet or a Cabinet Committee; and**
- (b) as a result of the intervention of the Solicitor-General pursuant to these Directions.**

9. **A lawyer who is employed in a Department or agency may appear on summary prosecutions in the District Court. Appearances by Departmental legal staff in any other jurisdiction will require a general or specific approval from the Solicitor-General.**
10. **No appeal from the decision of any Court or Tribunal, or application for judicial review, is to be instituted by any Crown party without the specific approval of the Solicitor-General.**
11. **If an employee of a Department is charged with a criminal offence arising out of the course of his or her employment, any claim for the reimbursement of the employee's legal costs shall be decided by the Chief Executive of the Department concerned.**
12. **If an employee of a Department is made a defendant in a civil action arising out of the course of his or her employment, the Crown shall bear the expenses of that defence, and the Attorney-General may take over the conduct of the case. For the purposes of this Direction "employee" includes a Chief Executive and a member of the Senior Executive Service.**
13. **Opinions provided by the Solicitor-General or the Crown Law Office are intended for the assistance of Ministers, and Departments only. They are not to be sought for private bodies or individuals.**

14. **An opinion given by the Crown Law Office is the property of the Crown and in the charge of the person to whom it is addressed. Subject to the rights of the Attorney-General to be fully informed on all Government legal business, the Solicitor-General and Crown Law Office will not disclose the contents of an opinion to any third party without the specific authority of the addressee. Requests to the Crown Law Office for copies of such opinions, whether under the Official Information Act or otherwise, will be transferred to the addressee who must decide whether to claim or waive any solicitor and client privilege attaching to the opinion. The Crown Law Office will, on request, give advice as to whether privilege should or may be claimed but the ultimate decision must be made by the addressee.**
15. **The Cabinet Rules for the Conduct of Crown Legal Business 1958 are hereby revoked.**

**Paul East
Attorney-General**

MAS :3623

PROSECUTION GUIDELINES

AS AT 9.3.92

(Duplicate of original)

INDEX

1. Introduction
2. Who may Institute Prosecutions
3. The Decision to Prosecute
4. Consents to Prosecutions
5. Indictments
6. Stay of Proceedings
7. Withdrawal of Charges and Arrangements as to Charges
8. The Role of the Prosecutor in Sentencing
9. Witness Immunities
10. Disclosure and Discovery
11. Victims of Offences
12. Crown Appeals against Sentence
13. Case Stated Appeals

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Almost invariably it is the responsibility of officers and agencies of the State to investigate offences and prosecute offenders. It is the Attorney-General and Solicitor-General, as the Law Officers of the Crown, whose responsibility it is to ensure that those officers and agencies behave with propriety and in accordance with principle in carrying out their functions.
- 1.2 The State bears a dual responsibility in its administration of the criminal law. Behaviour classified as criminal has been deemed so harmful to society generally that the State, on behalf of all its citizens, accepts the responsibility to investigate, prosecute and punish those behaving in that way.
- 1.3 The State also accepts the responsibility of ensuring, through institutions and procedures it establishes, that those suspected or accused of criminal conduct are afforded the right of fair and proper process at all stages of investigation and trial.
- 1.4 Those dual responsibilities are often in tension. The individual subjected to the criminal justice process will rarely believe that it is working in his or her favour; the investigating and prosecuting agencies will not wish to see someone they believe guilty elude conviction.
- 1.5 The decision to begin a prosecution against an individual has profound consequences. The individual is no longer a suspect, but is formally and publicly accused of an offence. Even if eventually acquitted, he or she will be subjected to the stresses of public opprobrium, court appearances and, possibly, a loss of liberty while awaiting trial.
- 1.6 It is of great importance therefore that decisions to commence and to continue prosecutions be made on a principled and publicly known basis. The purpose of these guidelines is to indicate, in a general way, the bases on which the Law Officers expect those decisions to be made.

2. Who may Institute Prosecutions

- 2.1 Any person may institute a prosecution for an offence against the general criminal law and, with some specific exceptions, for regulatory offences. Some prosecutions require the prior consent of the Attorney-General; the procedure for obtaining that consent is outlined in section 4. Every prosecution is commenced by way of an Information laid under the provisions of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957 and the person bringing the prosecution is known as the “informant”. In practice almost all prosecutions for offences against the general criminal law are brought by the Police and those for regulatory offences by officers of Government Departments or Local Authorities.
- 2.2 In the case of prosecutions brought by Crown agencies for offences triable only on Indictment, or those on which the accused has exercised a right of

electing trial by jury, the informant ceases to be the prosecutor from the point at which the accused is committed for trial. At that point the prosecution becomes a “Crown” matter and only the Attorney-General, Solicitor-General or a Crown Solicitor may lay an Indictment. The laying of Indictments is dealt with in section 5.

- 2.3 The Attorney-General as the Senior Law Officer of the Crown has ultimate responsibility for the Crown’s prosecution processes. Successive Attorneys-General however have taken the view that it is inappropriate for them, as Ministers in the Government of the day, to become involved in decision making about the prosecution of individuals.
- 2.4 In New Zealand the Attorney-General and Solicitor-General have co-extensive original powers. With some specified exceptions the Solicitor-General may perform any function given to the Attorney-General. In practice the Solicitor-General exercises all of the Law Officer functions relating to the prosecution process.
- 2.5 The initial decision to prosecute rests with the Police in the case of the general criminal law, or an officer of some other central or local government agency charged with administering the legislation creating the offence. It is frequently the case that the Police or agency will consult a Crown Solicitor or the Solicitor-General for advice as to whether a prosecution would be well founded. It is however never for the Solicitor-General or the Crown Solicitor to make the initial decision to prosecute; it is their function to advise.

3. The Decision to Prosecute

In making the decision to initiate a prosecution there are two major factors to be considered; evidential sufficiency and the public interest.

3.1 Evidential Sufficiency

The first question always to be considered under this head is whether the prosecutor is satisfied that there is admissible and reliable evidence that an offence has been committed by an identifiable person.

The second question is whether that evidence is sufficiently strong to establish a prima facie case; that is, if that evidence is accepted as credible by a properly directed jury it could find guilt proved beyond reasonable doubt.

3.2 (in the original there is no paragraph 3.2)

3.3 The Public Interest

3.3.1 The second major consideration is whether, given that an evidential basis for the prosecution exists, the public interest requires the prosecution to proceed. Factors which can lead to a decision to prosecute or not, will vary infinitely and from case to

case. Generally, the more serious the charge and the stronger the evidence to support it, the less likely it will be that it can properly be disposed of other than by prosecution. A dominant factor is that ordinarily the public interest will not require a prosecution to proceed unless it is more likely than not that it will result in a conviction. This assessment will often be a difficult one to make and in some cases it may not be possible to say with any confidence that either a conviction or an acquittal is the more likely result. In cases of such doubt it may be appropriate to proceed with the prosecution as, if the balance is so even, it could probably be said that the final arbiter should be a Court. It needs to be said also that the public interest may indicate that some classes of offending, eg driving with excess breath or blood alcohol levels, may require that prosecution will almost invariably follow if the necessary evidence is available.

3.3.2 Other factors which may arise for consideration in determining whether the public interest requires a prosecution include:

- (a) the seriousness or, conversely, the triviality of the alleged offence; ie whether the conduct really warrants the intervention of the criminal law;
- (b) all mitigating or aggravating circumstances;
- (c) the youth, old age, physical or mental health of the alleged offender;
- (d) the staleness of the alleged offence;
- (e) the degree of culpability of the alleged offender;
- (f) the effect of a decision not to prosecute on public opinion;
- (g) the obsolescence or obscurity of the law;
- (h) whether the prosecution might be counter-productive; for example by enabling an accused to be seen as a martyr;
- (i) the availability of any proper alternatives to prosecution;
- (j) the prevalence of the alleged offence and the need for deterrence;
- (k) whether the consequences of any resulting conviction would be unduly harsh and oppressive;
- (l) the entitlement of the Crown or any other person to compensation, reparation or forfeiture as a consequence of conviction;

- (m) the attitude of the victim of the alleged offence to a prosecution;
- (n) the likely length and expense of the trial;
- (o) whether the accused is willing to co-operate in the investigation or prosecution of others or the extent to which the accused has already done so;
- (p) the likely sentence imposed in the event of conviction having regard to the sentencing options available to the Court.

3.3.3 None of these factors, or indeed any others which may arise in particular cases, will necessarily be determinative in themselves; all relevant factors must be balanced.

3.3.4 A decision whether or not to prosecute must clearly not be influenced by:

- (a) the colour, race, ethnic or national origins, sex, marital status or religious, ethical or political beliefs of the accused;
- (b) the prosecutor's personal views concerning the accused or the victim;
- (c) possible political advantage or disadvantage to the Government or any political organisation;
- (d) the possible effect on the personal or professional reputation or prospects of those responsible for the prosecution decision.

4. Consent to Prosecutions

4.1 A number of statutory provisions creating offences require that, before a prosecution is commenced, the consent of the Attorney-General is to be obtained. This is a function carried out in practice by the Solicitor-General (see section 2). The consent, if given, is signified by way of endorsement on the Information. Requests for consent should be directed to the Solicitor-General with full details of the alleged offence and the evidence available to be called.

4.2 The reasons for requiring that consent vary. In general terms however the consent requirement is imposed to prevent the frivolous, vengeful or 'political' use of the offence provisions.

4.3 A list of the provisions creating offences for which the Attorney-General's consent is required is given in Appendix 1.

5. Indictments

- 5.1 The power of the Attorney-General and Crown Solicitors to present an Indictment is recognised in s 345 of the Crimes Act 1961. Almost invariably it is a Crown Solicitor who does so. In exercising that power, the Crown Solicitor acts entirely independently of the Police or other investigating agency and is not subject to their instructions.
- 5.2 A Crown Solicitor may present an Indictment "... for any charge or charges founded on the evidence disclosed in any depositions taken against such person...". A Crown Solicitor may therefore present an Indictment containing a charge different from, or additional to, that originally contained in the Information, so long as it is founded on evidence contained in the depositions. In exercising that power a Crown Solicitor is exercising, de novo, the discretion to prosecute. All factors affecting that discretion arise again for consideration.
- 5.3 Where the District Court has committed on some charges only, the prosecution has a number of options available if it wishes nevertheless to proceed to trial on the charges in respect of which there has been no committal:
- (a) the Crown Solicitor may exercise the power of laying an indictment under s 345 notwithstanding the lack of a committal on those charges;
 - (b) an application may be made to a High Court Judge for written consent to present an Indictment notwithstanding the lack of a committal on that or those charges;
 - (c) the Attorney-General (in practice the Solicitor-General) may present an Indictment (known as an "ex officio Indictment") or give written consent to the presentation of an Indictment notwithstanding the lack of a committal on that or those charges;
 - (d) the Information or Informations on which there has been no committal may be re-laid and taken to depositions again.
- 5.4 The use of an ex officio indictment or the giving of consent by the Attorney-General has been very rare and is likely to remain so.

6. Stay of Proceedings

- 6.1 The common law right of the Attorney-General to intervene in the prosecution process and to stay any prosecution from proceeding further is recognised in ss 77A and 173 of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957 and s 378 of the Crimes Act 1961.
- 6.2 In New Zealand the power of stay has been sparingly exercised. That conservative approach is likely to continue.

6.3 Generally speaking the power of entering a stay will be exercised in three types of situation:

- (a) Where a jury has been unable to agree in two trials. After a second disagreement the Crown Solicitor must refer the matter to the Solicitor-General for consideration of a stay. Unless the Solicitor-General is satisfied that some event not relating to the strength of the Crown's case brought about one or both of the disagreements, or that new and persuasive evidence would be available on a third trial, or that there is some other exceptional circumstance making a third trial desirable in the interests of justice, a stay will be directed.
- (b) If the Solicitor-General is satisfied that the prosecution was commenced wrongly, or that circumstances have so altered since it was commenced as to make its continuation oppressive or otherwise unjust, a stay will be directed.
- (c) A stay will be directed to clear outstanding or stale charges or otherwise to conclude an untidy situation; eg where for instance an accused has been convicted on serious charges but a jury had disagreed on others less serious, or a convicted person is serving a substantial sentence and continuing with further charges would serve no worthwhile purpose.

6.4 The possible circumstances which may justify a stay under heads (b) and (c) above are almost infinitely variable. In general terms however the same considerations will apply as are involved in the original decision to prosecute, always with the overriding concern that a prosecution not be continued when its continuance would be oppressive or otherwise not in the interests of justice.

7. Withdrawal of Charges and Arrangements as to Charges

- 7.1 Circumstances can change, or new facts come to light, which make it necessary to reconsider the appropriateness of the charges originally laid.
- 7.2 If after a review against the relevant criteria it is clear that a charge should not be pursued, it should be discontinued at the first opportunity. The mode of discontinuance will depend on the court before which the charge is pending and the stage the proceedings have reached. Similarly, if it is plain that a charge should be amended, that should be done at the first opportunity.
- 7.3 If a charge is not to be proceeded with because a witness declines to give evidence and there are acceptable reasons why he or she should not be forced to do so, it will generally be preferable to ask the Court to dismiss the charge for want of prosecution. That course should be followed, rather than seeking a stay from the Solicitor-General, to ensure that the reasons for the discontinuance are publicly stated.

- 7.4 Arrangements between the prosecutor and the accused person as to the laying or proceeding with charges to which the accused is prepared to enter a plea of guilty can be consistent with the requirements of justice, subject to constraints which must be clearly understood and followed by prosecutors.
- 7.5 Those constraints are:
- (a) No such arrangement is to be initiated by the prosecutor.
 - (b) No proposal to come to such an arrangement is to be entertained by a prosecutor unless:
 - (i) there is a proper evidential base for the charges to be laid or proceeded with and, conversely, there is not evidence which would clearly support a more serious charge;
 - (ii) the charges to be proceeded with fairly represent the criminal conduct of the accused and provide a proper basis for the Court to assess an appropriate sentence;
 - (iii) the accused clearly admits guilt of those charges which are to be proceeded with.
 - (c) The prosecutor must not agree to promote or support any particular sentencing option. In every case the informant or the Solicitor-General will reserve the possibility of an appeal against sentence if the sentence imposed is considered manifestly inadequate or wrong in principle.
 - (d) A prosecutor must not lay charges or retain them after it is clear that they should not be proceeded with for the purpose of promoting or assisting in any discussions about such an arrangement.
 - (e) In the case of summary prosecutions, every such arrangement must be approved by the Officer in Charge of the relevant Police prosecution section or, in the case of another Crown prosecuting agency, the senior legal officer of that agency. After committal for trial approval must be given by the relevant Crown Solicitor personally. In cases involving homicide, sexual violation or drug dealing offences involving class A drugs the approval of the Solicitor-General must also be obtained.
- 7.6 In addition to the matters outlined above a decision to enter into such an arrangement should be based on the following considerations:
- (a) whether the accused is willing to co-operate in the investigation or prosecution of others or the extent to which the accused has already done so;

- (b) whether the sentence that is likely to be imposed if the charges are pursued as proposed would be appropriate for the criminal conduct involved;
- (c) the desirability of prompt and certain despatch of the case;
- (d) the strength of the prosecution case;
- (e) the likely affects on witnesses of being required to give evidence;
- (f) in cases where there has been a financial loss, whether the accused has made restitution or arrangements for restitution;
- (g) the need to avoid delay in the despatch of other pending cases.

8. The Role of the Prosecutor in Sentencing

- 8.1 Until relatively recently the “traditional” view of the prosecutors role at sentencing prevailed; ie the prosecutor should maintain disinterest in the sentence imposed. That view cannot survive in the face of the Crown’s right to appeal against a sentence considered to be manifestly inadequate or wrong in principle.
- 8.2 At sentencing, counsel for the prosecution should be prepared to assist the Court, to the degree the Judge indicates is appropriate, with submissions on the following matters:
- (a) the Crown’s version of the facts;
 - (b) comment upon or, if necessary, contradiction of the matters put forward in mitigation by the accused;
 - (c) the accused’s criminal history, if any;
 - (d) the relevant sentencing principles and guideline judgments.
- 8.3 Counsel for the prosecution should not press for a particular term or level of sentence. It is the Crown’s duty to assist the sentencing Court to avoid errors of principle or sentences which are totally at odds with prevailing levels for comparable offences and offenders.

9. Witness Immunities

- 9.1 It is sometimes the case that the Crown will need to rely upon the evidence of a minor accomplice or participant in an offence in order to proceed against an accused considered to be of greater significance in the offending.
- 9.2 Unless that potential witness has already been charged and sentenced he or she will be justified in declining to give evidence on the grounds of self-incrimination.

- 9.3 In such a case it will be necessary for the Crown to consider giving the witness an immunity from prosecution. An immunity takes the form of a written undertaking from the Solicitor-General to exercise the power of stay if the witness is prosecuted for nominated offences. It thus protects the witness from both Crown and private prosecutions.
- 9.4 It is to be noted that the only person able to give such an undertaking is the Solicitor-General.
- 9.5 The purpose of giving an immunity must clearly be borne in mind. That purpose is to enable the Crown to use otherwise unavailable evidence. In exchange for that it will, with reluctance and as a last resort, grant immunity on specified offences. In particular, the giving of an immunity is not to be seen as an opportunity for an informer to wipe the slate clean.
- 9.6 Immunities are given reluctantly and only as a last resort in cases where it would not otherwise be possible to prosecute an accused for a serious offence.
- 9.7 Before agreeing to give an immunity the Solicitor-General will almost invariably require to be satisfied of at least the following matters:
- (a) that the offence in respect of which the evidence is to be given is serious both as to its nature and circumstances;
 - (b) that all avenues of gaining sufficient evidence to prosecute, other than relying upon the evidence to be given under immunity, have been exhausted;
 - (c) that the evidence to be given under immunity is admissible, relevant and significantly strengthens the Crown's case;
 - (d) that the witness, while having himself or herself committed some identifiable offence, was a minor participant only;
 - (e) that the evidence to be given under immunity is apparently credible and, preferably, corroborated by other admissible material;
 - (f) that no inducement, other than the possibility of an immunity, has been suggested to the witness;
 - (g) that admissible evidence exists, sufficient to charge the witness with the offences he or she is believed to have committed.
- 9.8 In order to preserve the integrity of the evidence to be given under immunity it will almost always be desirable for the witness to have independent legal advice. Preferably that advice should be obtained before the witness signs a brief of evidence or depositions statement. Counsel for the witness should, if the witness wishes to seek an immunity, obtain instructions to write to the officer in charge of the case or, if the Solicitor-General is already involved, to the Solicitor-General direct. The

letter should set out in full detail the evidence able to be given by the witness but without naming him or her. If satisfied that an immunity is justified the Solicitor-General can then advise the witness's counsel that an immunity will be given. Counsel will then be able to name the witness in the knowledge that a formal immunity will be forthcoming.

10. Disclosure and Discovery

10.1 The aim of the prosecution is to prove its charge beyond reasonable doubt and it is therefore clearly in the interests of justice that accused persons are fully informed of the case against them. At present, voluntary pre-trial disclosure of information relating to the Crown case is largely a matter for the prosecutors discretion to be exercised in accordance with the guiding principle of fairness to the accused. Nevertheless there are a minimal number of legal obligations with which the prosecution must comply.

10.2 Trial on Indictment

10.2.1 Before trial on indictment an accused person is entitled to peruse depositions taken on his committal for trial or the written statements of witnesses admitted instead of depositions. Section 183 Summary Proceedings Act 1957.

10.2.2 The prosecutor does not have to put forward all the evidence at depositions. However s 368(1) of the Crimes Act 1961 provides that the trial may be adjourned or the jury discharged if the accused has been prejudiced by the surprise production of a witness who has not made a deposition. Therefore in practice the prosecutor should provide adequate notice of intention to call any additional witness and provide the defence with a brief of the evidence that witness will give.

10.3 Information which the Prosecutor does not Intend to Produce in Evidence

10.3.1 The prosecutor must make available to the defence the names and addresses of all those who have been interviewed who are able to give evidence on a material subject but whom the prosecution does not intend to call, irrespective of the prosecutor's view of credibility (*R v Mason* [1975] 2 NZLR 289). It is for the prosecutor to decide whether the evidence is "material" (*R v Quinn* [1991] 3 NZLR 146) but that decision must be reached with complete fairness to the defence.

10.3.2 In the absence of an Official Information Act request there is no general common law duty placed on the prosecution to make available to the defence written statements obtained by the Police from persons the prosecution does not intend to call as witnesses at the trial. However in "truly exceptional circumstances" the Court may exercise its discretion to order production if it considers that a refusal to do so might result in unfairness to the

accused and perhaps a miscarriage of justice. *R v Mason* [1976] 2 NZLR 122.

- 10.3.3 A statutory exception to the general principle against production of written statements is contained in s 344C Crimes Act 1961 which deals with identification of witnesses.

10.4 Statements made by Witnesses to be called by the Prosecution

- 10.4.1 In the absence of an Official Information Act request there is no general rule of law requiring the prosecution to supply defence counsel with copies of all statements made by persons who are to be called to give evidence. An exception to this general rule is where the witness has made a previous inconsistent statement. Where there is any conflict that may be material between the evidence of a witness and other statements made by the witness, the defence is entitled to see those other statements. *R v Wickliffe* [1986] 1 NZLR 4; *Re: Appelgren* [1991] 1 NZLR 431; *R v Nankervill* (CA 342/89 4 May 1990).

- 10.4.2 A second exception is where a statement is specifically shown to an accused for the precise purpose of noting his reaction thereto; in such cases the accused is entitled to obtain production of the statement. *R v Church* [1974] 2 NZLR 117.

10.5 Character of Witness

- 10.5.1 Before all defended trials the prosecution has a duty to disclose any previous convictions of a proposed witness where credibility is likely to be in issue and the conviction could reasonably be said to affect credibility. *Wilson v Police and Elliot* (CA 90/91 20 December 1991).

- 10.5.2 For trials on indictment a prosecuting agency entitled to access to the Wanganui computer should make a computer check as a matter of course. For summary trials the agency should make such a check if requested by the defence. If the prosecuting agency is in doubt about whether a conviction should be disclosed, counsel's advice should be taken. Any list of convictions should be supplied a reasonable time before trial (normally at least a week). If the prosecuting agency intends to withhold details of convictions, the defence should be notified in sufficient time to enable rulings to be sought from the trial court.

10.6 Disclosure of any Inducement or Immunity given to a Witness

The defence must always be advised of the terms of any immunity from prosecution given to any witness. Likewise the existence of any other factor which might operate as an inducement to a witness to give evidence

should be disclosed to the defence. This includes the fact that the witness is a paid Police informer. *R v Chignell* [1991] 2 NZLR 257.

10.7 Identity of Informer

There will be good reason for restricting disclosure where the identity of an informer is at stake. The general principle is that the identity of an informer may not be disclosed unless the Judge is of the opinion that the disclosure of the name of the informer, or of the nature of the information is necessary or desirable in order to establish the innocence of the accused. *R v Hughes* [1986] 2 NZLR 129, 133.

10.7.1 A statutory restriction on disclosure of the true identity of undercover police officers is contained in s 13A Evidence Act 1908.

10.8 Preliminary Hearings

Special provisions for preliminary hearings in cases of a sexual nature are set out in Part VA Summary Proceedings Act 1957. Section 185C(4) requires the prosecutor to give the complainant's written statement to the defence at least 7 days before the hearing.

10.9 Minor Offences

In the case of minor as opposed to summary offences, defined in s 20A Summary Proceedings Act 1957, the prosecution must serve on the defence a notice of prosecution which will provide information in a brief form as to the essential nature of the charge and other relevant matters outlined in the section.

10.10 DSIR Examinations

As a matter of ethical obligation the prosecutor is required to provide access to the defence to forensic evidence prepared by the DSIR. (New Zealand Law Society, Rules of Professional Conduct, Appendix 2.)

10.11 Obligations on Request under Official Information Act 1982

10.11.1 Crown Solicitors are not part of a 'department or organisation' and are not therefore subject to the Official Information Act 1982. While as a matter of practical convenience they may facilitate responses to requests for information, they are not as a matter of law obliged to do so. The responsibility to provide information rests on the Police or other prosecuting agency, and requests made of a Crown Solicitor should be referred to them. The Crown Solicitor should be advised of all information supplied to other parties.

10.11.2 Personal information ie that particular category of official information held about an identifiable person, is the subject of an

explicit right of access, upon request, given to that person, unless it comes within some limited exceptions. Relevance is not the test under the Official Information Act.

- 10.11.3 The effect of the Court of Appeal decision in *Commissioner of Police v Ombudsman* [1988] 1 NZLR 385 is that the exercise of a defendant's right to personal information will not ordinarily prejudice the maintenance of the law (and fair trials), as shown by the traditional disclosure prosecution information for indictable trials. The practice should therefore be that there will be disclosure on request of briefs of evidence, witness statements or notes of interviews containing information about the defendant. Where briefs, statements or job sheets do not exist, the prosecution should as a matter of practice provide to the defence a summary of the facts on which the prosecution will be based.
- 10.11.4 The duty will generally apply only after criminal proceedings have been commenced, and information may be withheld if a specific risk (such as fabrication of evidence or intimidation of a witness) is shown. Any disputes should be determined as incidental or preliminary matters by the trial court.
- 10.12 The aim of pre-trial disclosure is to ensure fairness to the accused and to achieve efficiency in the prosecution process. Bearing those aims in mind, any doubt as to whether the balance is in favour of, or against disclosure should be resolved in favour of disclosure.

11. Victims of Offences

- 11.1 Victims of offences are entitled to be treated by prosecutors with courtesy, compassion and respect for their personal dignity and privacy. Section 3 Victims of Offences Act 1987.
- 11.2 The prosecuting authority or officers of the court (to use the language of the Act) are required to make available to a victim information about the following:
- (a) progress of the investigation of the offence;
 - (b) the charges laid or the reasons for not laying charges;
 - (c) the role of the victim as a witness in the prosecution of the offence;
 - (d) the date and place of the hearing of the proceedings; and
 - (e) the outcome of the proceedings including any proceedings on appeal.
- 11.3 For the purposes of the Victims of Offences Act, Crown Solicitors are not "prosecuting authorities".

11.4 Responsibility for notifying the victim of these matters has been allocated as between the prosecuting authorities and the officers of the court as follows:

(a) The Police accept that all information about actions before a prosecution is commenced is within their ambit.

(b) Before verdict:

In the case of a not guilty plea the prosecuting authorities are normally in contact with the victim until the verdict is given.

In the case of a guilty plea, the prosecuting authority which is laying the charge must inform the victim of the first date of a court appearance. At the same time it is required to hand to the victim information about the court process beyond that point, describing the processes of appeal, remand, adjournment, etc and informing the victim that it is his or her choice whether to follow the case through the court process. If the victim is unable to attend the hearing in person, he or she can obtain information from the court.

(c) After verdict:

Once a verdict has been reached the prosecuting authority will inform the victim of the outcome of the case. The letter containing the information should give further information about possible actions after the outcome eg appeal and rehearing.

(d) After sentence:

The prosecuting authority should hand to the court information about the victim's name and address so that the court may notify the victim of any rehearing.

(e) Appeal:

In the case of an appeal after trial on indictment, the Crown Law Office will notify the victim of the date on which it will be heard, and after the appeal send a copy of the Judgment to the victim.

11.5 In addition to providing information about the proceedings, a prosecutor has responsibilities in relation to Victim Impact Statements. A sentencing Judge is to be informed about any physical or emotional harm, or any loss of or damage to property, suffered by the victim through or by means of the offence, and any other effects of the offence on the victim. Such information is to be conveyed to the Judge by the prosecutor, either orally or by means of a written statement. The courts have indicated that Crown Solicitors have a certain responsibility to ensure that Victim Impact Statements fulfil their proper purpose ie a brief description of the impact on the victim and not a supplementary statement of facts adding additional offences and circumstances of aggravation.

- 11.6 The Victims of Offences Act also requires that in the case of a charge of sexual violation or other serious assault or injury the prosecutor should convey to the judicial officer any fears held by the victim about the release on bail of the alleged offender.

12. Crown Appeals against Sentence

- 12.1 It is for the Solicitor-General to determine in all cases whether an appeal against sentence should be taken. In respect of sentences passed on conviction on indictment, the appeal is taken in the name of the Solicitor-General; in respect of sentences imposed under the summary jurisdiction of the District Court the appeal is taken in the name of the informant, with the written consent of Solicitor-General.

- 12.2 The guiding principles for prosecutors in deciding whether a matter should be referred to the Solicitor-General for consideration of a Crown appeal are whether there are good grounds to argue that:

- (a) the sentence is manifestly inadequate; or
- (b) there has been a serious error in sentencing principle.

12.3 Manifestly Inadequate

- 12.3.1 The sentence imposed must be manifestly inadequate: – the Crown’s right of appeal is not intended to be a corrective procedure for every sentence considered to be lenient.

- 12.3.2 The considerations justifying an increase in sentence must be more compelling than those which might justify a reduction. Even where a sentence is found to be manifestly inadequate, the court will increase it only to the minimum extent required in the interests of justice.

- 12.3.3 A particular sentence, or sentences generally for a particular type of crime, may be considered manifestly inadequate if they do not fulfil their deterrent or denunciatory functions. A Crown appeal may be considered where it is clear that the offence requires a heavier sentence in the public interest for the purposes of general or individual deterrence or to express community denunciation because of the nature of the offence.

12.4 Error of Principle

- 12.4.1 Where a sentence is based upon a wrong principle, the error involved must be one that is important in a sense that it is likely to have implications beyond that particular case in which it has arisen.

- 12.4.2 The court is reluctant to interfere if this would cause some other injustice to the offender, eg by changing what is generally

deemed a wholly inappropriate sentence to which the offender is nevertheless responding. The court is also reluctant to uphold a Crown appeal if the prosecution did not do all that could reasonably have been expected of it to avoid the error at first instance. In no case shall any sentence be increased by reason of or in consideration of any evidence that was not given at trial. Section 389 Crimes Act 1961.

12.5 Time Limits

Appeals against sentences imposed in the indictable jurisdiction must be filed within 10 days. The time limit for the summary jurisdiction is 28 days. Given the short time limits for filing an appeal, particularly to the Court of Appeal after trial on indictment, and the uncertainty which a Crown appeal poses for the defendant in question, the need to refer materials speedily to the Solicitor-General is paramount. For the same reason it is only in exceptional cases of unavoidable delay that the Solicitor-General will seek leave to appeal out of time.

12.6 The information required for consideration of appeals includes:

- (a) Indictment or Information;
- (b) notes of Evidence or Summary of Facts;
- (c) copies of the Pre-Sentence Report, Victim Impact Report and any other reports made available to the sentencing Judge;
- (d) a list of any previous convictions;
- (e) a note of the Judge's or District Court Judge's remarks on sentence;
- (f) the comments and recommendations of the Crown Solicitor or prosecutor.

12.7 In general the main purpose of a Crown appeal is to ensure that errors of principle are corrected and not perpetuated, and that sentences for offences of generally comparable culpability are reasonably uniform and appropriate having regard to the seriousness and prevalence of the offence.